Applicant: Taro Miyazaki et al. Serial No.: 10/560,098 Filed: April 28, 2006 Page: 6 of 6

REMARKS

Upon entry of the above amendment, claims 1-22 will be pending in the application, new claim 22 having been added. Support for claim 22 can be found in the specification as filed on page 12. lines 14-16. No new matter has been added.

Response to Restriction Requirement

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 13, 2007, Applicants elect the invention of Group I, claims 1, 2 and 5-8, and new claim 22. The election is made without traverse. The fact that it is made without traverse should not be interpreted to mean that Applicants believe the elaborate justification for the restriction presented in the Restriction Requirement is warranted nor that the Examiner's characterization of the prior art and the law regarding inventive concept is correct. Applicants will address the prior art when and if any substantive rejections are made over the art in an Office action on the merits.

Please apply the fee for excess claims, as well as any other charges or credits, to Deposit Account No. 06-1050, referencing attorney docket number 14875-154US1.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 0, 2007

Janis K. Fraser, Ph.D., Reg. No. 34,819

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110 Telephone: (617) 542-5070

Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21709705.doc